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## Abstract

$\operatorname{GMRES}(\boldsymbol{m})$ is a popular algorithm for solving large linear systems $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$ where $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a general matrix, possibly nonsymmetric. GMRES $(\boldsymbol{m})$ consumes less computational resources than GMRES, but its convergence is not guaranteed. We propose a new proportional-derivative control-inspired law for updating the parameter $\boldsymbol{m}$ adaptively, resulting in the method PD-Gmres $(\boldsymbol{m})$. Numerical experiments using problems from the University of Florida Matrix Collection show that PD-Gmres( $\boldsymbol{m}$ ) has good convergence properties, as well as, robustness when it encounters a very slow convergence.

## Introduction

Restarted GMRES, denoted as GMRES $(\boldsymbol{m})$ was proposed by Saad and Schultz [1] to reduce GMRES high computational costs. Normally, at each cycle, the restart parameter $\boldsymbol{m}$ is set to a constant value. However, if the appropriate $\boldsymbol{m}$ is not chosen, the convergence of $\operatorname{GMRES}(\boldsymbol{m})$ is not guaranteed [2]
To improve GMRES $(\boldsymbol{m})$ several authors modify $\boldsymbol{m}$ using adaptive strategies. These could be classified into three groups:

- simple rules, which however contain empirically chosen parameters that are hard to guess/estimate [3, 4];
- rules involving non-trivial calculations of eigenvalues or zeros of polynomials [5, 6]
- simple empirical rules [7, 8]

Why changing $\boldsymbol{m}$ gives good results? The answer is experimental. Baker et al. observed experimentally that GMRES $(\boldsymbol{m})$ residual vectors may alternate directions ( $r_{j+1} \approx \gamma r_{j-1}$ with $\gamma \leq 1$ ). Furthermore, $\operatorname{GMRES}(\boldsymbol{m})$ slow convergence can often be attributed to this alternating behavior [9]. Heuristically, changing $\boldsymbol{m}$ tries to avoid this, even using a random $\boldsymbol{m}$ gives better time to solution than a fixed $\boldsymbol{m}$

## GMRES $(m)$ and PD-GMRES $(m)$

Using the recurrences in $\operatorname{GMRES}(\boldsymbol{m})$ and a feedback law $\boldsymbol{m}_{j}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{j-1}, \boldsymbol{m}_{j-1}\right)$ yields a control model and the block diagram below.
$m_{j}=\Phi\left(r_{j-1}, m_{j-1}\right)$
$z_{j-1}=\operatorname{GMRES}\left(A, r_{j-1}, m_{j}\right)$,
$x_{j}=X_{j-1}+z_{j-1}$,
$r_{j}=b-A x_{j}$.


## Block diagram for GMRES(m)

The proposed PD-GMRES $(\boldsymbol{m})$ updates $\boldsymbol{m}$ using a discrete PD (proportional-derivative) inspired controller. So the function $\Phi\left(r_{j-1}, m_{j-1}\right)$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{j+1}=m_{j}+\alpha \frac{\left\|r_{j}\right\|}{\left\|r_{j-1}\right\|}+\beta \frac{\left\|r_{j}\right\|-\left\|r_{j-2}\right\|}{2\left\|r_{j-1}\right\|} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.
The advantage of (1) is that only a few additional vectors need to be stored and the controller has the capacity to increase the dimension of the Krylov subspace if any convergence problem is detected. Note that if the function $\Phi\left(r_{j-1}, m_{j-1}\right)$ is a constant value, then we obtain standard GMRES $(\boldsymbol{m})$.
In this work we consider $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s }}\left(\angle\left(r_{j-1}, r_{j-2}\right)\right)=\frac{\left\|r_{j-1}\right\|}{\left\|r_{-2}\right\|}\left(\right.$ see [9]) as a measure of convergence. $\cos \left(\angle\left(r_{j-1}, r_{j-2}\right)\right) \approx \mathbf{0}$, i.e. near orthogonal residuals, means good convergence. $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s }}\left(\angle\left(r_{j-1}, r_{j-2}\right)\right) \approx 1$, i.e. near parallel residuals, means poor convergence.
The derivative $\frac{\left\|r_{i}\right\|-\left\|r_{1}\right\| \|}{\left\|\left\|_{1}-1\right\|\right.}$ in (1) measures the rate of convergence
The action of the proportional and derivative parts can be summarized as follows:

- If GMRES $(\boldsymbol{m})$ has good convergence, the derivative part is important and $\beta$ updates $\boldsymbol{m}$.
- If $\operatorname{GMRES}(\boldsymbol{m})$ has poor convergence, the proportional part $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s }}\left(\angle\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{j-1}, r_{j-2}\right)\right) \approx \mathbf{1}$ and $\alpha$ updates $\boldsymbol{m}$.

The parameters $\alpha, \beta$ have the values:

- $\alpha=-3$ because the proportional part acts like the rule in [7]. There decreasing $\boldsymbol{m}$ in -3 showed good results.
- $\beta=5$ because in many of the experiments, the derivative is small and we want to amplify its effect. Note that residual norms have decreasing values. Because of that a value of 5 decreases $\boldsymbol{m}$


## Proposed Rule

Input: $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{j}-1}$ last $\boldsymbol{m}$ value, $\boldsymbol{m}_{\text {initial }}$ last $\boldsymbol{m}$ initial value, $\boldsymbol{m}_{\text {min }}$ minimum $\boldsymbol{m}$ value
$r$ residual vectors, $\boldsymbol{j}$ cycle for which $\boldsymbol{m}$ will be computed
$\boldsymbol{s t e p}$ value to use for increasing $\boldsymbol{m}$ when $\boldsymbol{m}_{\text {min }}$ is reached
Output: $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ restart value for cycle $\boldsymbol{j}$
if $(j>3)$ then
$m_{j}=m_{j-1}+\left[\left(-3 \frac{\left\|r_{j-1}\right\|}{\left\|r_{j-2}\right\|}+5 \frac{\left\|r_{i-1}-1-\right\| r_{j-3}}{2\left\|r_{j-2}\right\|}\right)\right]$
else if $(j>2)$ then
$m_{j}=m_{j-1}+\left\lfloor-3 \frac{\left\|r_{j-1}\right\|}{\left\|r_{-2}\right\|}\right\rfloor$
else
$m_{j}=m_{\text {initial }}$
end
if $\left(m_{j}<m_{\text {min }}\right)$ then
$m_{\text {initial }}=m_{\text {initial }}+m_{\text {step }}$
$m_{j}=m_{\text {initial }}$
end
return $m_{i}$

## Problems

The solved linear systems $\boldsymbol{A x}=$ $\boldsymbol{b}$ use $\boldsymbol{A}$ from the University of Florida Matrix Collection [10 which includes problems from Matrix Market. For problems with out a right hand side $\boldsymbol{b}$, it was randomly generated using a uniform distribution with values beween the minimum and the max imum $(\boldsymbol{A})_{i, j}$

|  | Problem | $n$ | nnz | Application area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | add20 | 2395 | 17319 | Computer component design |
| 2 | cdde1 | 961 | 4681 | 2D convection-diffusion operator |
| 3 | circuit 2 | 4510 | 21199 | Circuit simulation |
| 4 | fpga trans 01 | 1220 | 7382 | Circuit simulat |
| 5 | orsirr_1 | 1030 | 6858 | Oil reservoir simulation |
| 6 | orsreg 1 | 2205 | 14133 | Oil reservoir simulatio |
|  | pde2961 | 2961 | 14585 | Model PDE equation |
| 8 | raefsky1 | 3242 | 294276 | Incompressible fluid flow |
|  | raefsky2 | 3242 | 293551 | Incompressible fluid flow |
| 10 | rdb2048 | 2048 | 12032 | Reaction-diffusion Brusselator mode |
| 11 | sherman4 | 1104 | 3786 | Oil reservoir simulation |
| 12 | steam2 | 600 | 13760 | Injected steam oil recovery |
| 13 | wang2 | 2903 | 19093 | Electron continuity equations |
| 14 | watt_1 | 1856 | 11360 | Petroleum engineering |
|  | young3c | 841 | 3988 | Acoustic scattering |

add20 2395 17319 Computercomponent design
$\begin{array}{llll}3 & \text { circuit } 2 & 4510 & 21199 \\ \text { Circuit simulation }\end{array}$
4 fpga trans $011220 \quad 7382$ Circuit simulation

| 5 orsirr_1 | 1030 | 6858 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oil reservoir simulation |  |  |


| 6 | orsreg_1 | 2205 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14133 | Oil reservoir simulation |  |

pde2961 296114585 Model PDE equation
324229426 incompressible luid flow
$\begin{array}{llll}10 \text { rdb2048 } & 2048 & 12032 & \text { Reaction-diffusion Bruss }\end{array}$
11 sherman4 $1104 \quad 3786$ Oil reservoir simulation

| 12 steam2 | 600 | 13760 Injected steam oil recovery |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |


| 14 watt_1 | 1856 | 11360 | Petroleum engineering |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

15 young3c

## Results

We solved the system $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$ using: stan dard $\operatorname{GMRES}(\boldsymbol{m})$, Baker's rule (obtained from [7]), Goncalez's rule (obtained from [8]) and PD-GMRES( $m$ ).
Algorithms' configurations

- The initial solution is $x_{0}=\mathbf{0}$ or equivalently $r_{0}=\boldsymbol{b}$
- The stopping criterion at the $j$ th iteration is $\frac{\left\|f r^{\prime}\right\|}{\left\|r_{0}\right\|}<10^{-9}$
- Standard GMRES $(\boldsymbol{m}): \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{3 0}$.
- PD-GMRES( $m$ ): $m_{\text {intitial }}=30, m_{\text {step }}=3, m_{\text {min }}=1$.
- Alg. 1 (Baker's rule): $m_{\text {min }}=1, m_{\text {max }}=30, m_{\text {step }}=3$.
- Alg. 2 (Goncalez's rule): $m_{i n i}=10, m_{\text {max }}=30$, tolerance $=10^{-9}$


Relative Execution Times. Bars are grouped by problems The lower the bar, the best the time to solution. In problem 15 , only the PD-GMRES ( $\boldsymbol{m}$ ) converged.

## Examples

Problem 13: Wang2


Relative residual norms $\left\|\boldsymbol{r}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\right\| /\left\|\boldsymbol{r}_{0}\right\|$ Vs. cycle number j. || $r_{0} \|$ excluded.


Problem 15: Young3c


Restart value $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ Vs. cycle number $\boldsymbol{j}$

## Conclusions

- For all but two (problems 7 and 10 ) of the 15 test problems, PD-GMRES( $\boldsymbol{m}$ ) has a better rate of convergence than GMRES(30), Baker's and Goncalez's algorithms.
- For problem 15, only PD-GMRES ( $\boldsymbol{m}$ ) converged, the other tested methods presented slow convergence. - PD-GMRES $(\boldsymbol{m})$ is simple to implement.
- Future work may find better heuristics for $\alpha, \beta$ in (1)
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